



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,855	09/19/2000	Masayuki Enoki	197452US2S	5425
22850	7590 04/09/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			NGUYEN, HUY D	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2681	10
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del> </del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		09/664,855	ENOKI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Huy D Nguyen	2681
on and so	- The MAILING DATE of this communic	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Period for	• •		
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply we ply received by the Office later than three months afted a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status			•
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <i>03 March 2004</i> .	
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3)□ 3	Since this application is in condition fo	or allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
C	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositio	on of Claims	•	•
4)🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are pending in the a	application.	·
	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are		
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10)∐ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any object		
ı	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[ T	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority d		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
2		ocuments have been received in A	Application No.
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority documents have been	
• ~	application from the Internation		
" Se	ee the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(	(a)		•
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper No(	s)/Mail Date
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2681

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salmela et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,181,938) in view of Witter (U.S. Patent No. 6,073,035).

Regarding claims 12-14, Salmela et al. discloses steps for the DMT location updating. In step 2A-1, the dual mode terminal DMT transmits a location update request Loc\_Up-date\_Req. The location update request proceeds to the mobile switching center MSC. In step 2A-2, the mobile switching center MSC/SSP transmits the location update request to the Visitor Location Register VLR, which performs the location updating in step 2A-3. In step 2A-4, the visitor location register VLR transmits an acknowledgement that the location updating has been performed to the mobile switching center MSC, which transmits the acknowledgement further to the mobile terminal DMT in step 2A-5 (FIG. 2A; col. 3, lines 50-65). It is inherent that transmitting section for sending location registration request and receiving section for receiving ACK signal are included in the DMT. Salmela et al. fails to teach step for prohibiting power supply to the receiving section if the ACK signal is not received within a predetermined period of time. Witter teaches a method and apparatus for reducing power consumption in a CDMA wireless telephone. Witter teaches that if an attempt to access a base station has failed, a sleep timer is started in the CPU, and the RX section is powered down to a reduced power mode. After

Art Unit: 2681

the RX section has powered down, the CPU powers down to complete the transition to the reduced power mode. After the sleep timer expires, the CPU, RX Section and MSM are restored to full power, and the phone again searches the available carriers in an attempt to access a base station [col. 3, lines 15-24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to power down the RX section when the attempt to access a base station has failed as disclosed in Witter since that reduces the power consumption.

Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salmela et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,181,938) in view of Witter (U.S. Patent No. 6,073,035 in further view of Jeong (U.S. Patent No. 6,421,539).

Regarding claim 15, Salmela et al. and Witter fail to disclose an acquiring section for acquiring a second base station if the acknowledge signal transmitted from the first base station is not received within a predetermined period of time after the location registration request signal has been transmitted from the transmitting section; and a causing section for transmitting a location registration request signal to the acquired second base station, and causing the receiving section to receive an acknowledge signal from the second base station after the second base station receives the location registration request signal from the transmitting section. Jeong teaches that when the MT moves far into the area of the base station 2, it drops the channel connected with the BTS 1 (20) and terminates the soft handoff. In this case, if the MT completes the call, either normally or abnormally, it is synchronized with the BTS 2 (50) and sends an ack signal. BTS 2 (50) detects the ack signal of the MT and requests to the MT to its register location (col. 6, lines 34-39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the

Art Unit: 2681

invention was made to modify the DMT in Salmela et al. to have an acquiring section for acquiring a second base station if the acknowledge signal transmitted from the first base station is not received within a predetermined period of time after the location registration request signal has been transmitted from the transmitting section; and a causing section for transmitting a location registration request signal to the acquired second base station, and causing the receiving section to receive an acknowledge signal from the second base station after the second base station receives the location registration request signal from the transmitting section since that would help the mobile terminal establish a better connection.

Regarding claim 16, it is well known in the art that the frequencies of the neighbor base stations are different. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to have the frequency of the first base station different from that of the second base station to avoid interference.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Erika A Gary can be reached on 703-308-0123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2681

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8

Page 5